Cite this article

Eskandari Torbaghan M, Kaddouh B, Abdellatif M *et al.* (2019)
Robotic and autonomous systems for road asset management: a position paper.
Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Smart Infrastructure and Construction
172(2): 83–93,
<https://doi.org/10.1680/jsmic.19.00008>

Research Article

Paper 1900008
Received 11/07/2019; Accepted 19/08/2020
Published online 07/09/2020

Keywords: infrastructure planning/
maintenance & inspection/roads &
highways

ICE Publishing: All rights reserved

Robotic and autonomous systems for road asset management: a position paper

Mehran Eskandari Torbaghan BSc, MSc, PhD

MCIHT Research Fellow, Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering, College of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK (Orcid:0000-0001-6069-6108)
(corresponding author: m.eskandaritorbaghan@bham.ac.uk)

Bilal Kaddouh BEng, MSc, PhD

Lecturer, Faculty of Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Mohamed Abdellatif BSc, PhD

Research Fellow, Faculty of Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Nicole Metje PhD, Dipl-Ing, MCIHT, MASCE, FHEA

Professor of Infrastructure Monitoring, School of Engineering, College of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Jason Liu BEng, MEng, PhD

Research Fellow, Faculty of Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Richard Jackson MSc, PhD

Research Associate, UCL Healthcare Biomagnetics Laboratories, The Royal Institution of Great Britain, London, UK

Christopher D. F. Rogers Eur Ing, BSc, PhD, CEng, MICE, MCIHT

Professor of Geotechnical Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering, College of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

David N. Chapman BSc (Hons), DIS, PhD, CEng, MICE, FHEA

Professor of Geotechnical Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering, College of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Raul Fuentes EngD, MSc, MEng

Associate Professor of Infrastructure Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK; Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería de Caminos, Canales y Puertos, Universitat Politècnica de València

Mark Miodownik PhD, FREng

Professor of Materials and Society, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University College London, London, UK

Robert Richardson PhD, BEng, FiMechE

Professor of Robotics, School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Phil Purnell PhD, BEng, PCPCE, ACTLHE

Professor of Materials and Structures, School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

The ever-growing urban population faces challenges of ageing infrastructure. The process for renewing the infrastructure is costly, and current practices for maintaining and repairing are often ineffective and labour intensive. Road networks, for instance, which act as the arteries of cities, suffer from reoccurring potholes (in the UK, a pothole is filled every 21 s). A more effective way of maintaining road networks is through a proactive approach, where condition assessment and intervention are conducted throughout the asset life cycle. However, there are barriers to a proactive approach, including budget constraints and the lack of effective technology for early defect detection (followed by a cheap yet effective repair). This paper puts forward an automated system, currently in development, based on cutting-edge robotic technologies to address these barriers and help achieve an effective proactive infrastructure maintenance and repair system. Technologies developed include automated condition assessment measures to detect road defects and repair technologies using a novel three-dimensional (3D) printing method to seal road cracks and potholes. Sealing small cracks by using 3D printing techniques has shown promising results by achieving superior mechanical properties.

1. Introduction

Flexible pavement structures (i.e. asphalt pavements) are the most common type of road surfacing in UK urban areas. Their wide application is due to a combination of factors: they create a safe and robust (strong, stiff and resilient) road surface for driving; road surfacing can be carried out rapidly and without complex machinery; they have good acoustic properties; and they are repairable and indeed can self-repair (García, 2012). However, flexible pavements deteriorate over time due to the effects of repeated dynamic loading (Cebon, 1986; Henning, 2008), environmental conditions (e.g. temperature (Cawsey and Massey, 1988)), surface water and groundwater (Simonsen *et al.*, 1997; Werkmeister *et al.*, 2003) and interaction with other infrastructure systems (e.g. a leaking pipe (Balkaya *et al.*, 2012; Vipulanandan and Liu, 2005)). The deterioration may lead to decreased stiffness and increased brittleness of the road surface, crack formation (thereby allowing water ingress to the lower unbound layers and the ground) and loss of aggregate and/or localised softening of the

ground and may lead to the development of potholes (Schlotjes, 2013; Thom, 2013).

The current road inspection and maintenance regime is mainly based on visual inspections followed by a reactive or emergency maintenance, which is normally applied when a serious defect has occurred or is reported – for example, a pothole. This current practice is blamed for recurring and perennial road defects and progressively worsening road conditions (UK Parliament, 2013). The degraded road condition caused by the reactive approach imposes huge extra direct and indirect costs on the road-asset-management systems and wider economy. For example, in 2018 in England and Wales alone, £28.3 million (including staff costs) was paid in compensation for damage to people or vehicles as a result of poor road conditions (AIA, 2018). The Asphalt Industry Alliance (AIA, 2020: p. 13) stated, ‘Maintenance costs increase as the structure of the roads deteriorate(s) and we are now starting to see the consequences of delaying intervention. It has got to the

point where full reconstruction is needed'. The cost of filling a pothole as part of a reactive approach is reported to be 65% higher than that of a planned maintenance (AIA, 2020). Furthermore, there are other socio-economic issues associated with the current inspection and maintenance approaches. For instance, the current practice involves (a) visual detection of road deterioration, with inherent subjectivity; (b) closing off of roads during daylight hours for a long period of time (urban road maintenance activities are normally done during the daytime because of noise level restrictions during the night), sometimes without any maintenance activity, with associated disruption to the traffic flow; and (c) employment of a maintenance crew and heavy lorries to carry the equipment and material to conduct the maintenance, with the associated health and safety issues and costs.

The UK Department for Transport estimates that the costs of disruption caused by streetworks exceeded £600 million per year in 2011 (HMG, 2012). Most critically, 14 road workers were killed and over 300 were seriously injured between 2006 and 2016 (RoWSaF, 2016). Congested traffic, such as that caused by streetworks, emits four times as much pollution as free-flowing traffic, thereby contributing to the estimated 40 000 premature deaths caused by poor air quality every year (LGA, 2017).

Early detection and repair of road defects, within a proactive approach, can significantly save costs while extending the asset life cycle. It is hypothesised that through the deployment of robotic and automatic systems (RASs), the current practices for road condition assessment and repair will be enhanced significantly. RASs have the potential to replace the lengthy process of visual condition assessment, to achieve remote and automated inspection, making early defect detection possible. This can be achieved either by way of drone flights and photography or swarms of miniature (cheap and dispensable) land-based robots working on temporarily closed lanes overnight, leading to multiple (economic and social) benefits. RASs have the potential to make routine, regular problem diagnosis affordable, leading to proactive asset-management approaches.

Furthermore, robotic road repair can be utilised particularly to intervene frequently and with minimal material to fix nascent defects before they become serious – for instance, to treat road cracks by using three-dimensionally (3D) printed asphalt from drone-mounted (a future vision that has been proved by the research team) or land-based tracked robots (prototypes being created and trialled). This has the potential to extend the life of the asset and minimise the need for heavy lorries to carry the equipment and material, thus reducing the environmental impact. This has the potential to make routine, regular early-stage maintenance affordable and avoid more serious deterioration (such as pothole formation). RASs can also have a significant positive health and safety implication for both inspection and maintenance activities. Moreover, RASs can address the issue associated with the limited adaptation of proactive road asset management. This limited adaptation is mainly attributed to the cost (UK House of Commons, 2019) being affected by the difficulty of gaining access

during daylight hours and its associated lane rental cost (Moran *et al.*, 2017).

As part of the current reactive approach, road asset owners tend to react to significant, serious or 'catastrophic' failures while neglecting to repair defects at early stages of development, such as small cracks (Figure 1). However, an 'effective crack treatment' at early stages of development is suggested to extend the life of a road by 2–5 years (Chong, 1990; Eacker and Bennett, 1998; Masson *et al.*, 2003). Effective road crack treatment is achievable when applied to pavements with a low to moderate crack density and with cracks with little or no branching (FHWA, 1998). Masson *et al.* (2003) concluded that a failed sealant is unlikely to show any improvement compared with a road without a sealant, showing the importance of having an effective sealing procedure in place. They also concluded that crack treatment needs to be repeated during the lifetime of the pavement, as there is only a 2–7-year durability expectation from current sealants.

Crack repair is usually initiated based on the defect area or length – for instance, in England, 0.5–5.0% wheel track cracking intensities are given as the lower and upper thresholds, respectively, for principal roads (Furness *et al.*, 2007). Most of the local authorities in the UK use a risk-based approach for categorising cracks, which is then used to prioritise the cracks for associated intervention. For instance, Oxfordshire County Council (OCC, 2011) uses a width of 30 mm and a length of 300 mm for cracks in minor carriageways as a threshold to classify a crack as a 'safety hazard' prior to carrying out the risk assessment.

The methods and procedures for repairing cracks are not standardised in the UK, and it is left to local authorities to decide whether to intervene and what method, material and procedure to use. Crack repair has been classified into two categories by Masson *et al.* (2003):

- crack sealing – rout and seal using hot mixtures; normally used to treat cracks that open in winter and close in summer (called active cracks)
- crack filling – no routing; both cold and hot mixtures might be used and can only be used to treat cracks that show little, if any, movement over time.

Crack sealing as a repair measure, when compared with the alternative method of crack filling, has higher installation costs but is more cost effective (Masson *et al.*, 2003). The repair procedure can be affected by various factors, including the asphalt and the ambient temperature, the humidity, the crack size and the cleaning method, as well as the temperature and the heating time of the sealant and its finish and protection (Masson *et al.*, 2003).

This position paper sets out the authors' vision on application of RASs in detecting road defects and cracks and repairing them, including a developed 3D printer for sealing cracks. The ambition is to change radically the way that asphalt pavements are repaired

Figure 1. Illustration of differences between road reactive and proactive approaches

by developing an automated system for locating defects (cracks and potholes) in the road surface while they are still relatively small and deploying an autonomous robot to repair them before they become defects requiring streetwork intervention. This has the potential to revolutionise the way that roads are maintained while reducing road closures, risks to operators and indirect costs incurred by road users due to streetworks. Section 2 presents a review of RAS applications in the construction industry; Section 3 presents a developed robotic and automated road repair and 3D-printing system; discussion is provided in Section 4; and conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. RAS in the construction industry

In order to place the use of RASs for road condition assessment and repair into context, the recent applications of RASs in the construction industry are reviewed. This includes proofs of concept achieved in applications focusing on automated condition assessment and repair that informed the development of the automation aspect of road inspection and repair RASs.

RASs have been utilised in the construction industry with various applications, including tying steel reinforcements, bricklaying, welding and installation of steel frames, earthwork and excavation (Prasad and Agrawal, 2019). RASs have been also utilised to tackle the challenge of working in harsh conditions and environments (Lia and Leung, 2017). For instance, robots have been used for working at height to install window glass and steel modules; see the paper by Bogue (2018) for commercial and

industrial developments. Choi *et al.* (2005) reported the development of a robotic platform equipped with pneumatic actuator, which was trialled for assisting in the installation of heavy ceramic tiles (with a weight of 5 kg), alongside a human, with the potential to be utilised for installation of different materials.

Prefabrication construction has huge potential for automation both during the construction, in a factory (Bock, 2008), and the installation on-site, as the robotic system can deal with expected geometry and material. Kasperzyk *et al.* (2017) developed an automated robotic system to enhance flexibility in redesigning prefabrication during the installation phase, which was successfully demonstrated using small ‘Jenga’ blocks. Willmann *et al.* (2016) developed an automated installation system for timber structures, consisting of a robotic arm and a gantry robot, which was positively trialled to assemble a roof built of 48 624 timber boards at a maximum length of 3.17 m.

2.1 RAS for condition assessment

For a RAS to be successful, both the propulsion and data processing from a range of different sensors need to be automated. Several kinds of sensors can be used to replace the current visual inspection process for road condition assessment. For instance, robotic platforms could be equipped with automated visual measurements using cameras working in the visible spectrum or other spectral bands, to capture digital images and identify anomalies using suitable algorithms. Similarly, road cracks can be

detected using a combination of several basic algorithms that may include edge detection, image segmentation, texture analysis and 3D segmentation. Ouma and Hahn (2016) constructed an automatic recognition approach for linear cracks based on the wavelet-morphology and circular Radon transform methods, which was successfully tested and achieved an average crack detection of 83.2% with an average processing time of 125 s.

Recently, deep learning (e.g. convolutional neural network (CNN)) using different learning architectures has been used to detect cracks in roads in images automatically. In deep learning, the number of convolution layers, which consist of a filter for extracting local contextual features (White *et al.*, 2017), is an important variable. Cha *et al.* (2017) described a method for the detection of concrete cracks through automated detection of the defect image features, using a deep architecture of CNNs. They used four convolution layers and reported a robust performance of the method for detecting thin cracks under natural lighting conditions compared with two more complex traditional methods – namely, Canny edge and Sobel edge. CNNs have also been used within an automated crack-detection method – for example, Zhang *et al.* (2016) created an automated road-crack-detection method by training a supervised deep CNN to classify each image patch in the collected images. They used six convolution layers for binary crack detection on roads. A training set of 600 000 images and 200 000 for testing was used and achieved 87% accuracy in detecting cracks. The results were compared with those of two different methods – namely, support vector machine and boosting, which achieved accuracies of 81 and 73%, respectively.

Despite data processing being relatively more time consuming compared with data collection (Zhang *et al.*, 2018), image processing has been utilised on RASs, for instance, by Knyaz and Chibunichev (2016), who included photogrammetric techniques for generating a 3D model and for assessing road conditions by including road features such as macrotexture, longitudinal and transversal evenness profiles and cracks and potholes. The technique was tested during an experiment on a test track using cameras mounted on an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) and taking images from a height of 30 m. Measurements associated with road surface deformation with an accuracy of 0.1 mm and a resolution within 0.3 mm for the 3D model were achieved. Image processing for identifying pavement distresses has also been utilised on robotic platforms (e.g. Li *et al.* 2016; Tseng *et al.*, 2011).

Wang *et al.* (2011) developed a prototype laser scanner for an automatic high-speed road assessment, which aimed to achieve 1 mm resolution, under natural lighting conditions (day and night), and detect rutting and cracking. The proposed system was claimed to have the following capabilities: identifying surface distresses, road profiling, transverse profiling for identifying rutting and longitudinal profiling for measuring roughness, macrotexture and roadway geometry; however, it is operative only when the pavement surface is dry. However, due to budget limitations, the developed prototype was not fully tested. A

similar system was developed by Yu *et al.* (2007), in which a video camera was also deployed. Li *et al.* (2020), also reported by Li *et al.* (2019), utilised a point laser system mounted on a vehicle to detect road fretting automatically. The proposed system used preprocessing algorithms to remove the noise caused by the moving vehicle and a signal-processing algorithm to identify changes in road surface texture. The data processed by the developed system were compared with a visual assessment survey for four road sections and achieved the same level of accuracy.

Inspection of concrete bridges and slabs (also known as rigid pavement) using robotic systems has attracted more attention compared with flexible roads. This might be due to the fact that asphalt is a more complex structure compared with concrete, as it consists of more layers and is less homogeneous. For instance, a robotic system called Robotics Assisted Bridge Inspection Tool (Rabit) was developed in the USA for bridge deck condition assessment (Gucunski *et al.*, 2013; La *et al.*, 2013a, 2013b). Rabit used a number of non-destructive technologies (NDTs) to detect concrete defects, corrosion and delamination and to measure the concrete elastic modulus. These were cameras in the visual spectrum, ground-penetrating radar (GPR), impact echo, ultrasonic method, capacitive resistivity and laser scanner (La *et al.*, 2014). The robot, which covers a 1.83 m width in each scan and is able to scan a distance of 53 m within 50 min, has been tested during a number of field trials, and the results have been presented in various publications (Gucunski, 2012; Gucunski *et al.*, 2013; La *et al.*, 2013a, 2013b, 2014). However, it seems that a deep analysis and interpretation of the results is missing from the publications.

In Germany, a multi-sensor self-navigating robotic system, called BetoScan, was developed and utilised for condition assessment of concrete slabs in bridges and parking decks, looking in particular for corrosion (Cotič *et al.*, 2014). The following NDT sensors were deployed on the BetoScan (Reichling *et al.*, 2009; Wiggengauser *et al.*, 2008):

- cameras in the visual spectrum and using image processing: for locating cracks and defect areas
- microwaves: for humidity distribution used to identify corrosion
- ultrasonic method: for measuring voids and crack depth and layer thickness
- temperature probes
- eddy current method (electrical resistivity method) and GPR: for locating rebars and measuring their cover
- electrochemical potential sensors: for detecting and mapping corrosion.

This section shows the successful applications of RASs in condition assessment while highlighting areas for further improvements, particularly on the automation of data processing in order to make RASs useable routinely in practice. The next sections present applications of RASs in construction and repair.

2.2 Autonomous movement

Navigation of ground robots in a construction site and a harsh environment with various unpredicted obstacles might impose a serious risk and limitation to the wide deployment of RASs in construction (Davila Delgado *et al.*, 2019). Potential solutions, however, seem promising where UAVs have been used to control and navigate ground robots. Asadi *et al.* (2020) developed a control algorithm and trialled it inside a building to simulate an indoor construction site. The trial successfully demonstrated autonomous navigation using the UAV to follow a manually controlled ground robot, so the UAV can indirectly follow human navigation orders as a demonstration of a scenario where autonomous robots and humans would work together in a construction site.

The concept of introducing highly automated machines to construct roads dates back to the 1980s (Parker and Draper, 1998). Rupp *et al.* (1998) reviewed the state of the art of automated road construction methods, and Osmani *et al.* (1996) evaluated 25 different road maintenance techniques and evaluated the conceptual feasibility and costs and benefits associated with automation. It was estimated then that over a 30-year period in the state of Texas, USA, the net present worth of automated crack sealing could be in hundreds of millions of dollars (Osmani *et al.*, 1996).

Road maintenance systems that can identify and seal cracks have been trialled – for example, the Automated Crack Sealing Machine (Bennett *et al.*, 2003; Winters *et al.*, 1994), which used two-line scan cameras for crack detection, and Selective Compliance Articulated Robot Arm for sealing operations, which used a pressurised injection system for bitumen heated up to 200°C. The developed technology was successfully tested for filling cracks at a rate of 8 km/h (Bennett *et al.*, 2003). Another crack-sealing prototype was developed at Carnegie Mellon University and The University of Texas at Austin (Greer *et al.*, 1997; Haas *et al.*, 1992; Hendrickson *et al.*, 1991), which developed perception and control methods to enable effective automation of routed pavement crack sealing. The system used laser sensors and video cameras for automated crack detection and was equipped with a heated air torch and a nozzle for pouring bitumen installed on a design x - y table (1.5×3 m), similar to a 3D printing system (Haas *et al.*, 1992). The developed system was tested in both laboratory and field environments and led to a field prototype. However, details of the experiments were not reported. The University of Texas at Austin (Haas, 1996) reviewed the evolution of an automated crack sealer along with its design cycle, economic feasibility and implementation.

The Tethered Mobile Routing Robot by Hong *et al.* (1997) focused on mobility as a key feature to follow the irregular patterns usually found with road surface cracks. The repair process began with the equipped router cutting a channel in the path of the crack to allow for increased penetration of a pressurised hot thermoplastic sealant using the sealant applicator on a gantry system. The developed system was successfully tested

in the laboratory environment and was able to detect and follow a crack in the form of a straight line rather than a natural irregular crack, with a width of 6.4 mm.

The growth of 3D printing, also known as additive manufacturing or rapid prototyping, has steadily gained recognition (Gross *et al.*, 2014) and has been used in building construction (e.g. Bogue, 2018). The application of 3D printing technology for road repairs has been tested for spall damage repair by using printers to produce negative moulds of damaged spalls into which concrete is poured, cured and finally glued to the damaged area (Yeon *et al.*, 2018). In contrast, Jackson *et al.* (2018) suggested that durable and efficient repairs could be conducted using screw-extrusion-based 3D printing, as this enables autonomous approaches to road repair. Similar proposals were made by Buswell *et al.* (2018) for other common infrastructure materials such as concrete. However, there is a wide range of material, environmental and situational variables for every road defect, as well as its individual or collective geometry, increasing the requirements significantly for true autonomy. These additive manufacturing techniques also invite the introduction of different material mixes that can have different properties at different points in the volume of the repair at different print times for more advanced and cost-effective repair materials. Examples include a surface containing a more expensive but functionally superior nano- or microscale material than the bulk, as well as the preselection of a range of graded aggregate/binder mix pellets to be added to the print feedstock at precomputed times based on the geometry of the structure to be manufactured, in order to grade larger repairs (or construction) mechanically. Potential additions include self-repairing oil capsules (Al-Mansoori *et al.*, 2018), induction-heated materials (Liu *et al.*, 2011), a wide variety of recycled materials such as polymers (Huang *et al.*, 2007), reclaimed asphalt (Oliveira *et al.*, 2013) and graphene (Yao *et al.*, 2016), as well as other nanoscale materials to influence material properties (Antonovič *et al.*, 2010).

The addition of materials that heat up when subjected to magnetic induction, such as steel fibres, offers better repair bonding than current cold-mix methods for either direct printing or patching with prefabricated tiles (Obaidi *et al.*, 2017). Other material additions are able to offer more long-term, flexible and durable solutions for defect repair (Butt *et al.*, 2016). In addition, more advanced smart components such as radio-frequency identification tracking (Ergen and Akinci, 2007) could be introduced during low-temperature material extrusion, perhaps during (or at the end of) another type of repair, enabling a more proactive, and therefore more efficient, maintenance system. Aside from screw extrusion of melted material, similar unheated systems could deliver pellets to a defect, which could then be inductively heated to conform and bond to the exposed surface (Obaidi *et al.*, 2018). Both of these material deposition methods would also go some way in increasing the energy efficiency of repairs, given the current energy costs of material transportation and heating (Zapata and Gambatese, 2005). Combined with commensurate advances in computer vision, robotics and machine learning, repair of road

defects by way of additive manufacturing promises a very capable solution that may also influence material composition and infrastructure design in the future.

Having highlighted recent developments in RASs in the construction industry and the potential of automated defect detection using a range of sensors and the forthcoming potential that additive manufacturing offers to repair defects, the research project Self Repairing Cities (2020) set out to exploit these advances. The following section briefly summarises the progress made to date.

3. Developed robotic repair and 3D printing

The Self Repairing Cities project has implemented innovative asphalt 3D printing technology to fill in cracks and potholes (Figure 2). This approach includes positioning an extruder nozzle in the vicinity of the cracks and then 3D printing the material to seal them. The extruded material proves to have superior mechanical properties by being more ductile and exhibiting the ability to deform under stress before failure (Jackson *et al.*, 2018). There is a challenge in getting the extruder nozzle into the correct location and using it to fill irregular cracks and potholes, which has been addressed by the Self Repairing Cities project using an image-processing technique. To ensure a quick, autonomous response to the detected anomalies, the asphalt extruder developed by University College London (Jackson *et al.*, 2018) is installed on a hybrid aerial-ground vehicle developed at the University of Leeds. The extruder houses an aluminium tube enclosing a printed Archimedes screw, which is attached to a stepper motor. Three equidistant power resistors supply heat to the asphalt chamber, controlled by way of a thermistor. This enables the additive manufacturing of pelleted materials such as asphalt in a

compact, lightweight package and under a range of working temperatures.

The extruder is installed on a delta arm modified from a commercial 3D printer, retrofitted onto a UAV with tracks that allow ground manoeuvring (Figure 3).

The UAV is a modified DJI M600 Pro hexrotor with a payload capacity of 6.5 kg and nearly 20 min of flight time at full payload. It relies on a redundant system composed of six motors, six batteries, three inertial measurement units and three global positioning system (GPS) antennae in order to provide more reliability to the autonomous flight operation. The UAV flight controller is commanded by an Intel NUC companion computer, which is responsible for autonomous navigation in the air as well as autonomous driving on the ground and control of the repair system. The UAV receives a list of prioritised crack locations in the form of GPS coordinates and an estimation of the material required to fill those cracks. Once released from its base station with the repair material on board, the UAV flies autonomously to the first crack location and lands within 2 m of the crack coordinates. The on-board companion computer is responsible for running a crack-detection neural network to find the cracks in the vicinity of the aircraft once it has landed. The companion computer controls the tracks in order to navigate the UAV to the nearest crack, position it over the crack and begin the repair process. A downward-facing camera detects the crack and generates an appropriate tool path for the 3D printing nozzle to follow. The volume required for extrusion is estimated from the size of the crack and factored into the 3D printing process. The UAV is able to move while filling a crack or pothole when the crack/pothole size exceeds the operation area of the printing arm. The current design allows for 2.5 kg of repair material to be carried by the UAV – that is, approximately 2.5 l of pure bitumen. Considering two sizes of cracks, 3 and 5 mm wide cracks, and assuming a 60 mm depth, this volume is enough to seal 13.8 m of 3 mm cracks and 8.3 m of 5 mm cracks. The use of the UAV repair system is beneficial in areas where there are relatively small cracks that are geographically dispersed. The system is envisioned to be used in a team of heterogeneous robots that maximise the advantages of each individual robotic system.

The bespoke tracks were designed and manufactured for the UAV to ensure that the size remains similar to the stock landing struts of the UAV and the weight is minimal to not exceed the UAV payload capacity. These are also easily removable for maintenance and can be remounted on other UAVs as required. These are each controlled with in-built control boards receiving the common pulse-width modulation signal alongside a three-to-four cell lithium polymer (LiPo) voltage range. They allow the 16.5 kg UAV to move on the ground with speeds of up to 0.5 m/s.

The current fixing method utilises an additional camera aimed towards the printer workspace to identify and compute a work path for the printer nozzle. The nozzle will follow the direction of

Figure 2. 3D asphalt drone

(a)

(b)

Figure 3. (a) 3D printing asphalt UAV; (b) detailed view of the printing arm. ToF, time-of-flight

the crack and extrude asphalt material at a temperature that allows the asphalt to flow into the crack and therefore filling it in a single pass. The ideal speed and temperature for this process are still to be identified and will depend on the external environment. Irregular crack shapes or pothole forms can now be fixed. Figure 4 shows an irregular crack autonomously detected and filled with asphalt by the Self Repairing Cities system.

The developed UAV plays a key role in the automated inspection and maintenance system of city infrastructures and offers a platform for further development of aerial maintenance robots. The developed 3D printing system, ultimately, will be utilised along with a crack-detection process to inform an automated decision-making on the required material to be carried around and to ensure that there is no shortage or excess of material.

Figure 4. (a) Irregular crack to be filled; (b) crack autonomously filled with asphalt

4. Discussion

The presented UAV concept is an autonomous means of crack repair for bituminous roads that drastically reduces road closure time and associated traffic congestion. It also provides the benefit of being able to cover a larger area with dispersed detected defects in less time compared with a ground vehicle. The UAV concept reduces the repair cost through early detection and repair of defects, and hence extends the asset lifetime (1), while also reducing the risk to road workers and the maintenance operation time by eliminating or minimising the need for a road closure set-up. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions are reduced due to low energy consumption, mainly by removing the need for large vehicles to conduct the inspection and repair while achieving efficient heat delivery to melt the bitumen and the reliance on electricity.

Other means of autonomous crack repair are under investigation such as using electric autonomous ground vehicles equipped with an array of bitumen 3D printing arms combined with the ability to detect cracks. The autonomous ground vehicle is envisioned to detect and seal cracks while driving, which allows larger stretches of roads to be covered and minimises effects on traffic flow. Such a vehicle will have the advantage of being able to operate throughout the night, when traffic is low, eliminating the risk of people working at night on dangerous roads.

In addition to the technological aspect of RASs in road condition assessment and repair, Self Repairing Cities is investigating the interactions between technology, society and the ecological environment to make sure that this technology will enhance balancing of resources for a more sustainable future (Fuentes *et al.*, 2017).

Despite the aforementioned positive aspects of deploying a UAV for automated road crack sealing, some questions over its practicality and effectiveness might be raised. For instance, the speed of 3D printing asphalt mounted on a UAV for sealing a longitudinal crack might seem slow when compared with an operator or other automatic techniques (e.g. an autonomous vehicle). To increase the UAV operational speed on the ground, it has currently been equipped with tracks. At the same time, it should be noted that the developed successful 3D printing mechanism can be utilised on ground robots, an ongoing research, which can be deployed independently or along with the UAV system based on the working conditions. This has the potential to speed up the repair operation.

While landing a UAV on the road pavement might be regarded as more dangerous than the visual inspection process, it should be noted that road or lane closures are envisaged to reduce the risk. However, the size of the UAV will allow minimising disruption to the road (both the required time and space) when compared with the current operation while eliminating the existing risk to the streetwork operators.

The required energy to heat small amounts of material may also raise questions over the efficiency and efficacy for UAVs when compared with the large quantities of bitumen used within current practices. The success of the proposed method, therefore, depends on the insulation efficiency of the system. The energy-efficient system will just carry small quantities of asphalt, supplied by a central station with larger capacities, with minimum energy required for just maintaining the temperature. Ongoing research is working on improving the efficiency of the system. Moreover, a whole-system cost analysis is required to include the improved

road condition and thus reduced maintenance cost and reduced labour costs.

The risks associated with the 3D printing UAV autonomously flying above residential areas should also be investigated, identifying measures to mitigate or control the associated risks. The other aspects of the proposed system, which should be investigated in order for the industry to adopt the technology, are its reliability, safety and cost-effectiveness. Large-scale trials are underway to investigate the reliability of the system compared with that of the current practices. This will also enable assessing the cost-effectiveness of the system taking a whole-system approach. The research question for the trials is how effective 3D printing would be in preventing further developments of cracks when compared with the current practices.

5. Conclusions

Early detection and repair of road defects have been identified as an effective cost-saving approach for cities. However, as discussed in this paper, current common practices are typically unable to detect defects at an early enough stage. Furthermore, the current practices are costly and disrupt traffic while putting workmen's lives in danger. RASs equipped with the right technologies have not been utilised for assessing the condition of asphalt pavements and autonomously repairing the detected defects, and these are the main objectives of the Self Repairing Cities project. This will allow early detection and repair of defects within a proactive asset-management strategy.

The 3D printer developed by the Self Repairing Cities project has been presented as a method for more effectively sealing cracks at their early stages, using the benefit of an in-house RAS system. Utilising such an automated system has the potential to prevent pothole formation with the associated huge economic, social and environmental benefits for the society.

Acknowledgement

The authors appreciatively acknowledge the support provided by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council through grant EP/N010523/1 (Balancing the Impact of City Infrastructure Engineering on Natural Systems Using Robots).

REFERENCES

- AIA (Asphalt Industry Alliance) (2018) *Annual Local Authority Road Maintenance (ALARM)*. AIA, Bristol, UK.
- AIA (2020) *Annual Local Authority Road Maintenance (ALARM)*. AIA, Bristol, UK.
- Al-Mansoori T, Norambuena-Contreras J and Garcia A (2018) Effect of capsule addition and healing temperature on the self-healing potential of asphalt mixtures. *Materials and Structures* **51**: 1–12, <https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-018-1172-5>.
- Antonovič V, Pundiene I, Stonys R, Česniene J and Keriene J (2010) A review of the possible applications of nanotechnology in refractory concrete. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management* **16**(4): 595–602, <https://doi.org/10.3846/jcem.2010.66>.
- Asadi K, Suresh AK, Ender A et al. (2020) An integrated UGV-UAV system for construction site data collection. *Automation in Construction* **112**: article 103068, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2019.103068>.
- Balkaya M, Moore ID and Sağlamer A (2012) Study of non-uniform bedding due to voids under jointed PVC water distribution pipes. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes* **34**: 39–50, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geotexmem.2012.01.003>.
- Bennett D, Feng X and Velinsky S (2003) Robotic machine for highway crack sealing. *Transportation Research Record* **1827**(1): 18–26, <https://doi.org/10.3141/1827-03>.
- Bock T (2008) Construction automation and robotics. In *Robotics and Automation in Construction* (Balaguer C and Abderrahim M (eds)). IntechOpen, Rijeka, Croatia, pp. 21–42.
- Bogue R (2018) What are the prospects for robots in the construction industry? *Industrial Robot* **45**(1): 1–6, <https://doi.org/10.1108/IR-11-2017-0194>.
- Buswell RA, de Silva WRL, Jones SZ and Dirrenberger J (2018) 3D printing using concrete extrusion: a roadmap for research. *Cement and Concrete Research* **112**: 37–49, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.05.006>.
- Butt AA, Birgisson B and Kringos N (2016) Considering the benefits of asphalt modification using a new technical life cycle assessment framework. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management* **22**(5): 597–607, <https://doi.org/10.3846/13923730.2014.914084>.
- Cawsey DC and Massey SW (1988) In service deterioration of bituminous highway wearing courses due to moisture-susceptible aggregates. *Engineering Geology* **26**(1): 89–99, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-7952\(88\)90007-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-7952(88)90007-5).
- Cebon D (1986) Road damaging effect of dynamic axle loads. *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Heavy Vehicle Weights and Dimensions, Kelowna, BC, Canada*, pp. 37–53.
- Cha YJ, Choi W and Büyüköztürk O (2017) Deep learning-based crack damage detection using convolutional neural networks. *Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* **32**(5): 361–378, <https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12263>.
- Choi HS, Han CS, Lee K and Lee S (2005) Development of hybrid robot for construction works with pneumatic actuator. *Automation in Construction* **14**(4): 452–459, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2004.09.008>.
- Chong GJ (1990) Rout and seal cracks in flexible pavement – a cost-effective preventive maintenance procedure. *Transportation Research Record* **1268**: 8–16.
- Cotič P, Niederleithinger E and Stoppel M (2014) Unsupervised fusion of scattered data collected by a multi-sensor robot on concrete. *Proceedings of Deutsche Gesellschaft für Zerstörungsfreie Prüfung Jahrestagung 2014, Potsdam, Germany*, pp. 1–8.
- Davila Delgado JM, Oyedele L, Ajayi A et al. (2019) Robotics and automated systems in construction: understanding industry-specific challenges for adoption. *Journal of Building Engineering* **26**: article 100868, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2019.100868>.
- Eacker MJ and Bennett AR (1998) *Bituminous Crack Filling Test Section on US-10 Near Evart*. Michigan Department of Transportation, Lansing, MI, USA.
- Ergen E and Akinci B (2007) An overview of approaches for utilizing RFID in construction industry. *Proceedings of 2007 1st Annual RFID Eurasia, Istanbul, Turkey*, pp. 1–5.
- FHWA (Federal Highway Administration) (1998) *Techniques for Pavement Rehabilitation – Reference Manual*, 6th edn. FHWA Washington, DC, USA.
- Fuentes R, Chapman T, Cook M et al. (2017) Briefing: UK-RAS white paper in robotics and autonomous systems for resilient infrastructure. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Smart Infrastructure and Construction* **170**(3): 72–79, <https://doi.org/10.1680/jsmic.17.00013>.
- Furness G, Barnes A and Wright A (2007) *Crack Detection on Local Roads: Phase 2*. TRL Limited, Wokingham, UK.

- García Á (2012) Self-healing of open cracks in asphalt mastic. *Fuel* **93**: 264–272, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2011.09.009>.
- Greer R, Kim YS and Haas CT (1997) Telerobotic control for automated pavement crack and joint sealing. *Proceedings of Transportation Research Board 76th Annual Meeting, Washington, DC, USA*, paper no. 97-0660.
- Gross BC, Erkal JL, Lockwood SY, Chen C and Spence DM (2014) Evaluation of 3D printing and its potential impact on biotechnology and the chemical sciences. *Analytical Chemistry* **86**(7): 3240–3253, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac403397r>.
- Gucunski N (2012) Comprehensive condition assessment of concrete bridge decks by multiple NDE technologies. *HDKBR INFO Magazin*, November, pp. 3–9.
- Gucunski N, Maher A, Basily B et al. (2013) Robotic platform Rabit for condition assessment of concrete bridge decks using multiple NDE technologies. *HDKBR INFO Magazin*, November, pp. 5–12.
- Haas C (1996) Evolution of an automated crack sealer: a study in construction technology development. *Automation in Construction* **4**(4): 293–305, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0926-5805\(95\)00010-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0926-5805(95)00010-0).
- Haas C, Hendrickson C, McNeil S and Bullock D (1992) A field prototype of a robotic pavement crack sealing system. *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction (ISARC), Tokyo, Japan*, pp. 313–322.
- Hendrickson C, McNeil S, Bullock D et al. (1991) Perception and control for automated pavement crack sealing. In *Applications of Advanced Technologies in Transportation Engineering* (Sinha KC, Fwa TF, Cheu RL and Lee DH (eds)). American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, VA, USA, pp. 1–6.
- Henning TFP (2008) *The Development of Pavement Deterioration Models on the State Highway Network of New Zealand*. PhD thesis, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand.
- HMG (Her Majesty's Government) (2012) The Street Works (Charges for Unreasonably Prolonged Occupation of the Highway) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2012. The Stationery Office, London, UK. Statutory Instrument 2012 No. 2272.
- Hong D, Velinsky SA and Yamazaki K (1997) Tethered mobile robot for automating highway maintenance operations. *Robotics and Computer-integrated Manufacturing* **13**(4): 297–307, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-5845\(97\)00002-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-5845(97)00002-1).
- Huang Y, Bird RN and Heidrich O (2007) A review of the use of recycled solid waste materials in asphalt pavements. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling* **52**(1): 58–73, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2007.02.002>.
- Jackson RJ, Wojcik A and Miodownik M (2018) 3D printing of asphalt and its effect on mechanical properties. *Materials & Design* **160**: 468–474, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2018.09.030>.
- Kasperzyk C, Kim MK and Brilakis I (2017) Automated re-prefabrication system for buildings using robotics. *Automation in Construction* **83**: 184–195, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2017.08.002>.
- Knyaz VA and Chibunichev AG (2016) Photogrammetric techniques for road surface analysis. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences* **XLI-B5**: 515–520, <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLI-B5-515-2016>.
- La HM, Lim RS, Basily B et al. (2013a) Autonomous robotic system for high-efficiency non-destructive bridge deck inspection and evaluation. *Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE), Madison, WI, USA*, pp. 1053–1058.
- La HM, Lim RS, Basily BB et al. (2013b) Mechatronic systems design for an autonomous robotic system for high-efficiency bridge deck inspection and evaluation. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics* **18**(6): 1655–1664, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMECH.2013.2279751>.
- La HM, Gucunski N, Seong-Hoon K et al. (2014) Autonomous robotic system for bridge deck data collection and analysis. *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Chicago, IL, USA*, pp. 1950–1955.
- LGA (Local Government Association) (2017) *A Country in a Jam: Tackling Congestion in Our Towns and Cities – How Councils Are Dealing with Congestion and How They Could Do More*. LGA, London, UK.
- Li S, Yuan C, Liu D and Cai H (2016) Integrated processing of image and GPR data for automated pothole detection. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering* **30**(6): article 04016015, [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CP.1943-5487.0000582](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000582).
- Li W, Burrow M, Metje N, Tao Y and Ghataora G (2019) A novel processing methodology for traffic-speed road surveys using point lasers. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2019.2957238>.
- Li W, Burrow M, Metje N and Ghataora G (2020) Automatic road survey by using vehicle mounted laser for road asset management. *IEEE Access* **8**: 94643–94653, <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2994470>.
- Lia RYM and Leung TH (2017) Leading safety indicators and automated tools in the construction industry. *Proceedings of the 34th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction (ISARC), Taipei, Taiwan*, pp. 758–765.
- Liu Q, García Á, Schlagen E and van den Ven M (2011) Induction healing of asphalt mastic and porous asphalt concrete. *Construction and Building Materials* **25**(9): 3746–3752, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.04.016>.
- Masson JF, Boudreau S and Girard C (2003) *Guidelines for Sealing and Filling Cracks in Asphalt Concrete Pavement: A Best Practice by the National Guide to Sustainable Municipal Infrastructure*. National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada.
- Moran J, Torbaghan ME and Burrow MPN (2017) Estimating the benefits of joint occupation for street works. *Infrastructure Asset Management* **4**(4): 115–127, <https://doi.org/10.1680/jinam.17.00007>.
- Obaidi H, Gomez-Meijide B and García A (2017) A fast pothole repair method using asphalt tiles and induction heating. *Construction and Building Materials* **131**: 592–599, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.099>.
- Obaidi H, Gomez-Meijide B and García A (2018) Induction-heatable asphalt pellets as a new material in road maintenance. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* **30**(11): article 04018300, [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)MT.1943-5533.0002485](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0002485).
- OCC (Oxfordshire County Council) (2011) *The Little Book of Highway Defects: A Guide to the Identification of Common Problems*. Wilson Pym May Limited, Newton Abbot, UK.
- Oliveira JRM, Silva HMRD, Jesus CMG, Abreu LPF and Fernandes SRM (2013) Pushing the asphalt recycling technology to the limit. *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology* **6**(2): 109–116, [https://doi.org/10.6135/ijprt.org.tw/2013.6\(2\).109](https://doi.org/10.6135/ijprt.org.tw/2013.6(2).109).
- Osmani A, Haas C and Hudson WR (1996) Evaluation of road maintenance automation. *Journal of Transportation Engineering* **122**(1): 50–58, [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-947X\(1996\)122:1\(50\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-947X(1996)122:1(50)).
- Ouma YO and Hahn M (2016) Wavelet-morphology based detection of incipient linear cracks in asphalt pavements from RGB camera imagery and classification using circular Radon transform. *Advanced Engineering Informatics* **30**(3): 481–499, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2016.06.003>.
- Parker LE and Draper JV (1998) Robotics applications in maintenance and repair. In *Handbook of Industrial Robotics*, 2nd edn. (Nof SY (ed.)). Wiley, New York, NY, USA, pp. 1023–1036.
- Prasad KN and Agrawal VM (2019) Automation and robotics in the construction industry – a review. *i-manager's Journal on Future Engineering and Technology* **14**(3): 49–55, <https://doi.org/10.26634/jfet.14.3.15654>.
- Reichling K, Raupach M, Wiggenshauser H et al. (2009) BETOSCAN – an instrumented mobile robot system for the diagnosis of reinforced concrete

- floors. *Restoration of Buildings and Monuments* **15**(4): 277–286, <https://doi.org/10.1515/rbm-2009-6306>.
- RoWSaF (Road Worker Safety Forum) (2016) *RoWSaF Strategy 2015*. RoWSaF, Birmingham, UK.
- Rupp T, Volz H and Cord T (1998) Automated and robotics-based techniques for road construction. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes* **31**(3): 515–520, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-6670\(17\)44137-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-6670(17)44137-1).
- Schlotjes MR (2013) *The Development of a Diagnostic Approach to Predicting the Probability of Road Pavement Failure*. PhD thesis. University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand, and University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.
- Self Repairing Cities (2020) <https://www.selfrepairingcities.com> (accessed 21/08/2020).
- Simonsen E, Janoo VC and Isacsson U (1997) Prediction of temperature and moisture changes in pavement structures. *Journal of Cold Regions Engineering* **11**(4): 291–307, [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0887-381X\(1997\)11:4\(291\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0887-381X(1997)11:4(291)).
- Thom NH (2013) *Principles of Pavement Engineering*. ICE Publishing, London, UK.
- Tseng YH, Kang SC, Chang JR and Lee CH (2011) Strategies for autonomous robots to inspect pavement distresses. *Automation in Construction* **20**(8): 1156–1172, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2011.04.018>.
- UK House of Commons (2019) *Local Roads Funding and Maintenance: Filling the Gap*. UK House of Commons, London, UK.
- UK Parliament (2013) *Managing a Valuable Asset: Improving Local Road Condition*. UK Parliament, London, UK.
- Vipulanandan C and Liu J (2005) Sewer pipe-joint infiltration test protocol developed by CIGMAT. In *Pipelines 2005: Optimizing Pipeline Design, Operations, and Maintenance in Today's Economy* (Vipulanandan C and Ortega R (eds)). American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, VA, USA, pp. 553–563.
- Wang KC, Gong W, Tracy T and Nguyen V (2011) *Automated Survey of Pavement Distress Based on 2D and 3D Laser Images*. Mack-Blackwell Rural Transportation Center, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA.
- Werkmeister S, Numrich R, Dawson AR and Wellner F (2003) Design of granular pavement layers considering climatic conditions. *Transportation Research Record* **1837**(1): 61–70, <https://doi.org/10.3141/1837-07>.
- White C, Ismail HD, Saigo H and Kc DB (2017) CNN-BLPred: a convolutional neural network based predictor for β -Lactamases (BL) and their classes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **18**(16): article 577, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1972-6>.
- Wiggenhauser H, Stoppel M, Dobmann G *et al.* (2008) BETOSCAN – an instrumented mobile robot system for the diagnosis of reinforced concrete floors. In *Concrete Repair, Rehabilitation and Retrofitting II* (Alexander MG, Beushausen HD, Dehn F and Moyo P (eds)). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp. 255–256.
- Willmann J, Knauss M, Bonwetsch T *et al.* (2016) Robotic timber construction – expanding additive fabrication to new dimensions. *Automation in Construction* **61**: 16–23, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.09.011>.
- Winters S, Hong D, Velinsky S and Yamazaki K (1994) A new robotic system concept for automating highway maintenance tasks. In *Robotics for Challenging Environments: Proceedings of RCE II, the Second Conference* (Demsetz LA and Klarer PR (eds)). American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, NY, USA, pp. 374–382.
- Yao H, Dai Q, You Z, Ye M and Yap YK (2016) Rheological properties, low-temperature cracking resistance, and optical performance of exfoliated graphite nanoplatelets modified asphalt binder. *Construction and Building Materials* **113**: 988–996, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.03.152>.
- Yeon J, Kang J and Yan W (2018) Spall damage repair using 3D printing technology. *Automation in Construction* **89**: 266–274, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.02.003>.
- Yu SJ, Sukumar SR, Koschan AF, Page DL and Abidi MA (2007) 3D reconstruction of road surfaces using an integrated multi-sensory approach. *Optics and Lasers in Engineering* **45**(7): 808–818, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optlaseng.2006.12.007>.
- Zapata P and Gambatese JA (2005) Energy consumption of asphalt and reinforced concrete pavement materials and construction. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems* **11**(1): 9–20, [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1076-0342\(2005\)11:1\(9\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1076-0342(2005)11:1(9)).
- Zhang L, Yang F, Zhang YD and Zhu YJ (2016) Road crack detection using deep convolutional neural network. *Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), Phoenix, AZ, USA*, pp. 3708–3712.
- Zhang R, Zhou G, Huang J and Zhou X (2018) Real-time orthorectification for remote sensing images. In *Fifth Recent Advances in Quantitative Remote Sensing* (Sobrino JA (ed.)). Universitat de València, Valencia, Spain, pp. 460–464.

How can you contribute?

To discuss this paper, please email up to 500 words to the editor at journals@ice.org.uk. Your contribution will be forwarded to the author(s) for a reply and, if considered appropriate by the editorial board, it will be published as discussion in a future issue of the journal.

Proceedings journals rely entirely on contributions from the civil engineering profession (and allied disciplines). Information about how to submit your paper online is available at www.icevirtuallibrary.com/page/authors, where you will also find detailed author guidelines.