The compressibility of natural and reconstituted marine clays

1 Roy Butterfield DSc, DIC, CEng, MICE, MISTructE
Emeritus Professor of Civil Engineering, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

2 Michela Marchi PhD
Junior Assistant Professor, Department of Civil, Chemical, Environmental and Materials Engineering, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy (corresponding author: michela.marchi@unibo.it)

There is mounting evidence in favour of replacing the e against $\log_{10}(\sigma'_v)$ diagram, conventionally used to represent soil compressibility in an oedometer, with a $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ diagram, which significantly improves the linearity of virgin loading and unloading paths. This paper presents a simple, new formulation of the 'intrinsic' compression and 'sedimentation' compression lines for a range of insensitive, sedimentary marine clays presented in Burland's 1990 Rankine Lecture by using a $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ interpretation of the data.

Notation

C_c	virgin compression index in the traditional e – $\log(\sigma'_v)$ plane
C'_c	natural compression index for virgin compression on the $\log(v)$ – $\log(\sigma'_v)$ plane
C_c^*	intrinsic compression index
C'_{cr}, C'_{cn}	intrinsic compression indices on the $\log(v)$ – $\log(\sigma'_v)$ plane for the reconstituted (r) and natural (n) samples, respectively
e	void ratio
e_{100}^*	void ratio corresponding to $\sigma'_v = 100$ kPa
e_{1000}^*	void ratio corresponding to $\sigma'_v = 1000$ kPa
e_L	void ratio at liquid limit
I_v	void index
L_L, w_L	liquid limit
$\log(S)$	spacing between the intrinsic compression and sedimentation compression lines in the $\log(v)$ – $\log(\sigma'_v)$ model
m_v	coefficient of volume compressibility; m_{vr} for reconstituted and m_{vn} for natural samples
v	specific volume; v_r for reconstituted and v_n for natural samples
v_0	reference specific volume on the virgin compression line
v_L	specific volume at liquid limit
v_r^*, v_n^*	intrinsic specific volumes of the reconstituted and natural samples, respectively, corresponding to $\sigma_v^* = 1$ atm
w	water content
σ'_v	effective vertical stress
σ'_{v0}	reference effective vertical stress on the virgin compression line

Introduction

When samples of argillaceous soils are recovered, often in a disturbed state, it is a relatively simple matter to dry the soil,

reconstitute samples of it (typically with water contents at, or up to 1.5 times, their liquid limit) and determine from them compressibility parameters in virgin compression in an oedometer. Such soils are often found, normally consolidated, in a marine environment having undergone only sedimentary compression. It is of considerable interest, and practical importance, to determine whether or not there is a consistent relationship between the void ratio, or specific volume, of the reconstituted soil, undergoing vertical effective stress increments in virgin confined compression, and the corresponding relationship for the same soil in its natural in situ state.

Skempton (1970) published sedimentation compression curves covering a wide variety of such deposits and effective consolidation pressures ranging over five orders of magnitude. Subsequently, Burland (1990) presented his concept of an intrinsic compression line (ICL), for reconstituted samples, and a sedimentation compression line (SCL), for a wide range of natural sedimentary clays, as a means of normalising and comparing the two families of results. The ICL and SCL, although not straight, were found to be closely parallel, enabling the more readily determined ICL parameters to be used to predict those for the same soil in its natural state.

Embedded in Burland's analysis is an empirically extrapolated curve passing through two points on the e against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ plot for soil in confined compression, within the vertical effective stress range of $100 \text{ kPa} \leq \sigma'_v \leq 1000 \text{ kPa}$, as a means of overcoming the fact that e against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ curves for such soils, over a wider range of effective vertical stress, are usually non-linear.

The present paper presents a reformulation of Burland's proposal in which the compression data are plotted in a $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ diagram, with $v = (1 + e)$, in the form

$$1. \quad \log(v/v_0) = -C'_c \log(\sigma'_v/\sigma'_{v0})$$

where (σ'_{v0}, v_0) is a reference point on the virgin compression line and C'_c is the relevant natural compression index for virgin compression.

It was established by Butterfield (1979, 2011) and subsequently confirmed, also recently by Suddeepong *et al.* (2015), that such a $\log(v) - \log(\sigma'_v)$ interpretation of the data offers a much improved linearity (closely linear plots) for many soils (in particular clay and silty-clay soils) over a wide range of σ'_v values; a gradient that generates natural strains directly and is therefore applicable to large strain deformation; compression equations that are independent of the base of the logarithms used for plotting; and a satisfactory interpretation of the earlier-mentioned difference in soil stiffness.

When the Burland (1990) and Skempton (1970) data are interpreted in this way, both the equivalent ICL and SCL are found to be closely parallel straight lines. In fact, they become merely normalised $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ plots, and their presentation is thereby both clarified and simplified.

Intrinsic parameters for a class of reconstituted clays

Review of Burland's analysis

In his 1990 Rankine Lecture, Burland presented the ICL as a means of unifying virgin compression data obtained on a class of reconstituted clays. These were normally consolidated insensitive marine clays, reconstituted by thorough mixing at a water content at

least as high as their liquid limit, with Atterberg limits ($w_L\%$, $PI\%$) lying slightly above the A line in a Casagrande plasticity chart.

Burland introduced the following parameters to define the ICL

- two intrinsic void ratios, e_{100}^* and e_{1000}^* , defined as the void ratios of each reconstituted soil corresponding to $\sigma'_v = 100$ and 1000 kPa, respectively, together with their intrinsic compression indices
- $C_c^* = (e_{100}^* - e_{1000}^*)$, being the value of C_c for a line joining the above points in an e against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ diagram.

These three new parameters were then used to define a further one, the void index (I_v), as

$$2. \quad I_v = (e - e_{100}^*) / (e_{100}^* - e_{1000}^*) = e - e_{100}^* / C_c^*$$

Burland's ICL results from plotting I_v against $\log_{10}(\sigma'_v)$, with σ'_v in kilopascals. Figure 1(a) shows his oedometer test data for six such clays, spanning a wide range of liquid limit values, when reconstituted at a water content w with $(w_L < w < 1.5w_L)$. Figure 1(b) is the ICL that they generate. It is interesting to note that had the $e - \log_{10}(\sigma'_v)$ plots been linear, then, since $(e_{100}^* - e_{1000}^*) = C_c^* \log_{10}(10)$, one would have $(e - e_{100}^*) = C_c^* \log_{10}(100/\sigma'_v)$, and the ICL equation would become simply

$$3. \quad I_v = (e - e_{100}^*) / C_c^* = -\log_{10}(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*) \quad (\sigma_v^* = 100 \text{ kPa})$$

Figure 1. (a) One-dimensional compression curves for various reconstituted clays, from Burland (1990). (b) Normalised intrinsic compression curves giving the ICL of Equation 3 (dotted gray line). L_L , liquid limit

This straight line has been added to Figure 1(b), providing (dotted gray line), as expected, an acceptable fit to the data between e_{100}^* and e_{1000}^* and departing from it as the linearity of the e against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ curve breaks down. The actual ICL is curved, and Burland (1990) provides a fitted cubic equation for it in terms of $\log_{10}(\sigma'_v)$ as

$$4. \quad I_v = 2.45 - 1.285(\log_{10}\sigma'_v) + 0.015(\log_{10}\sigma'_v)^3$$

A $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ interpretation

If the data on the virgin compression of the reconstituted clays are interpreted in terms of the $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ model, the result is very closely a straight line with gradient C'_{cr} , replacing C'_c ; the subscript r attributing the parameter to the reconstituted soil. An equivalent linear version of Figure 1(b) can then be generated by introducing one further parameter v_r^* . By analogy with e_{100}^* , v_r^* becomes the 'intrinsic specific volume' of the reconstituted clay corresponding to $\sigma'_v = \sigma_v^* = 100$ kPa.

If σ_v^* is redefined as atmospheric pressure = 100.33 kPa, this will have a negligible numerical effect on established results while removing the restriction on the units of σ'_v to kilopascals. The form of Equation 1 also means that the use of base 10 logarithms in calculations and plotting is no longer mandatory.

Figure 2 shows the Figure 1(a) data plotted on $\log(v)$ – $\log(\sigma'_v)$ axes, where it becomes, very closely, a set of straight lines

Figure 2. Lines generated from the reconstituted clays data set of Figure 1(a) plotted on the $\log(v)$ – $\log(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*)$ plane (thick continuous lines: original data set; thin continuous lines: Burland's fitting; thin dashed lines: new fitting)

converging at around (5.6, 0), each with a soil-specific gradient C'_{cr} . The data can be normalised by plotting $\log(v/v_r^*)/C'_{cr}$ against $\log(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*)$ as shown in Figure 3. This is a straight-line, alternative form of the ICL (say, ICL*, the ICL in the $\log(v)$ – $\log(\sigma'_v)$ model) with gradient = –1, passing through the coordinate origin with the equation

$$5. \quad \log(v_r^*/v_r^*)/C'_{cr} = -\log(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*) \quad (\sigma_v^* = 1 \text{ atm})$$

in which (v_r^*, C'_{cr}) are specific (intrinsic) to each clay and v represents v_r , the specific volume of a reconstituted sample. Equation 5, analogous to Equation 3, is seen to be simply a normalised version of Equation 1.

Oedometer tests on the reconstituted soils provided values of (C'_{cr}, v_r^*) , and these correlate reasonably with their specific volume at liquid limit (v_L) to provide the relationships

$$C'_{cr} = 0.025 + 0.022v_L \quad \text{and}$$

$$6. \quad v_r^* = 0.889 + 0.348v_L + 0.032(v_L)^2$$

These expressions are analogous to those quoted by Burland (his Equations 4 and 5) for estimating his parameters (C'_c, e_{100}^*) from e_L using the same data.

Figure 3. Reconstituted clay data set of Figure 1(a) normalised by plotting $\log(v/v_r^*)/C'_{cr}$ against $\log(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*)$

Intrinsic parameters for a class of naturally sedimented clays

Burland's analysis

The next stage of Burland's (1990) development was to use the earlier-presented empirical correlations to estimate the values of C_c^* and e_{100}^* for a collection of natural marine clays for which e_L was known. For this purpose, he used an augmented set of the large collection of data on normally consolidated argillaceous sediments published by Skempton (1970). Since the in situ void ratio and the associated effective overburden pressure (e_0 , σ'_{v0}) were also known for each data point, Burland was able to estimate (C_c^* , e_{100}^*) for each point from e_L and hence calculate another void index $I_{v0} = (e_0 - e_{100}^*)/C_c^*$ for the natural clays and plot it against $\log(\sigma'_{v0})$ for each of them.

The result of the process is shown by the points in Figure 4.

He fitted a curve through them, which, by analogy with his ICL (also shown in the figure), he called the SCL. This can be plotted from the interpolation table shown in the figure. His ICL and SCL are approximately parallel, from which he concluded that 'at a given value of void index I_{v0} the effective overburden pressure carried by the natural clay is approximately five times that carried by the equivalent reconstituted clay' (Burland, 1990: p. 340).

A $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ interpretation of Figure 4

Figure 5 shows the complete, augmented, natural-clay data set, digitised from Skempton's (1970) database and replotted for each

Figure 4. Relationship between I_{v0} and $\log \sigma'_{v0}$ for many of the normally consolidated clays designated in Skempton (1970) and SCL as in Burland (1990)

Figure 5. Complete natural-clay data set (thick lines) plotted together with fitted $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*)$ lines

individual soil (thick lines) in terms of $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$, omitting the individual data points. Because the natural-clay soil samples covered a range of depths at each site, an approximate (light) line can be fitted to each set to provide a site-specific value of, say, natural compression index C'_{cn} and v_n^* (the specific volume under $\sigma'_v = 1$ atm). This diagram can be normalised, in the same way as the reconstituted samples, by plotting $\log(v_n/v_n^*)/C'_{cn}$ against $\log(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*)$ as in Figure 6 (v here designating the value of v_n for a natural soil). The result is again very closely a straight line, which can be fitted by Equation 5 when (v_r^* , C'_{cr}) are replaced by (v_n^* , C'_{cn}) – that is

$$7. \quad \log(v_n/v_n^*)/C'_{cn} = -\log(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*) \quad (\sigma_v^* = 1 \text{ atm})$$

Since Equations 5 and 7 are merely normalised versions of the basic $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ equation with $\sigma'_{v0} = \sigma'_v$ and $v_0^* = v_r^*$ or v_n^* , it follows that a virgin compression line for any soil obeying Equation 1 can be normalised this way, generating a single, unique dimensionless compression line (ICL*) with a gradient = -1 passing through the coordinate origin.

This operation can be applied equally well to straight unloading lines in which C'_c is replaced by C'_s , where C'_s , the natural swelling index (Butterfield, 1979, 2011), is analogous to the swelling index C_s associated with the e - $\log(\sigma'_v)$, compression model.

If, however, the data either are or are considered to be a collection of individual points, there will be no means of estimating (v_n^* , C'_{cn}) as done earlier. In this case, estimation of

Figure 6. Complete natural-clay data set normalised by plotting $\log(v/v^*)/C'_{cr}$ against $\log(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*)$

(v_r^*, C'_{cr}) can be resorted to for each data point from their v_L values and Equation 6, as Burland (1990) and Skempton (1970) did.

In Figure 7, the best-fit line through the data, the corresponding SCL^* (SCL in the $\log(v)-\log(\sigma'_v)$ model) is shown dashed, together with the previously derived ICL^* . The equation to the SCL^* is

$$8. \quad \log(v/v_r^*)/C'_{cr} = -\log(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*) + \log(S) \quad (\sigma_v^* = 1 \text{ atm})$$

in which $\log(S) = 0.6$ is the spacing between the two lines parallel to either axis.

This figure is therefore an alternative means of comparing the compressibility of the natural and reconstituted soils to that shown in Burland's, $e-\log(\sigma'_v)$ based (Figure 4), interpretation.

The actual gradient of the best-fit line through the points is -0.98 ($R^2 = 0.963$) which, within the precision of the data, confirms that the two lines are parallel with a gradient of -1 . Hence, when the values of the normalised expressions for the natural soil and a reconstituted sample of it are equal, the ratio of their effective overburdens ($\sigma'_{vn}/\sigma'_{vr}$) is

$$9a. \quad \log_{10}(S) = \log_{10}(\sigma'_{vn}/\sigma'_{vr}) = 0.6 = \log_{10}(3.98)$$

Figure 7. Complete natural-clay data set normalised by plotting $\log(v/v^*)/C'_{cr}$ against $\log(\sigma'_v/\sigma_v^*)$: the best-fit line through the data, the SCL^* is shown as a dashed line, together with the previously derived ICL^*

or

$$9b. \quad S = (\sigma'_{vn}/\sigma'_{vr}) = 3.98 \approx 4$$

a ratio rather lower than Burland's (1990) approximation of 5.

Practical implications

Since the $\log(v)-\log(\sigma'_v)$ model is equally valid for unloading processes in an oedometer (with C'_c replaced by C'_s), a similar analysis could also generate information applicable to this situation.

The spacing of the lines predicts that at a given value of the logarithmic equivalent of the void index (I_v), the effective overburden carried by the natural clay is four times that carried by an equivalent reconstituted clay, rather than the five times predicted by Burland (1990).

The complete $\log(v)-\log(\sigma'_v)$ model also provides an alternative, practically useful, interpretation of the preceding statement. Differentiating Equation 1 provides an expression for the 'coefficient of volume compressibility' ($m_v = -(dv/v)/d\sigma'_v$) in terms of C'_c at any point along a virgin compression line as

$$10. \quad m_v \sigma'_v = C'_c \quad \text{or} \quad \log(m_v) = -\log(\sigma'_v) + \log(C'_c)$$

Therefore, a logarithmic plot of $\log(m_v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ will have a gradient of -1 and a $\log(m_v)$ intercept of $\log(C'_c)$.

A key component of the model (Butterfield, 2011) is that if a soil sample on the C'_c line is unloaded from σ'_v to any point along a C'_s 'swelling line' and then reloaded back to σ'_v , it will always lie on a line parallel to Equation 10 but with intercept $\log(C'_0)$.

Consequently, the spacing of the original and final load points parallel to the $\log(m_v)$ axis will always be

$$11. \quad \log(m_{vr}/m_{vn}) = \log(C'_c/C'_0) \text{ or } (m_{vr}/m_{vn}) = (C'_c/C'_0)$$

Therefore, if m_{vr} represents the local compressibility of a virgin (reconstituted) sample and m_{vn} that of an identical natural sample which has been unloaded and reloaded as done earlier, the latter will have a compressibility C'_0 rather than C'_c .

The assumption being made here is that the natural-clay sample will inevitably have undergone at least one modest in situ unload–reload cycle, due to wave action on a beach, for example, during and after deposition and thereby become less compressible. In his paper, Butterfield (2011) tabulated the (C'_0/C'_c) ratios for 12 samples of seven different clays and silty clays; the average value of the ratios was 0.596, essentially identical to $\log(S)$, from which one might conclude that $\log_{10}(S) = \log_{10}(4) = 0.60 \approx (C'_0/C'_c)$ provides the ratio of the value of m_v for a reconstituted marine clay sample to that of a natural in situ one, as in Figure 7, and an explanation of S .

Concluding remarks

The $\log(v)$ – $\log(\sigma'_v)$ model of soil compressibility provides an alternative version of the ICL and SCL proposed by Burland (1990), in which both lines become straight and parallel, with a gradient of -1 , when applied to Skempton's (1970) data on 'normally' consolidated marine clays.

That the natural clays are stiffer than reconstituted, normally consolidated samples of the same soil by a factor of $\log_{10}(4)$ is explained by the fact that this is the ratio expected for a soil that has been unloaded and reloaded to its present in situ effective stress if it has behaved as predicted by the extended $\log(v)$ against $\log(\sigma'_v)$ model of soil response in an oedometer. The marine deposits have therefore historically undergone, at least small, unload–reload cycles either during, or after, deposition: they are, in fact, not normally consolidated.

REFERENCES

- Burland JB (1990) On the compressibility and shear strength of natural clays. *Géotechnique* **40**(3): 329–378.
- Butterfield R (1979) A natural compression law for soils (an advance on e – $\log p'$). *Géotechnique* **29**(4): 469–479.
- Butterfield R (2011) An improved model of soil response to load, unload and re-load cycles in an oedometer. *Soils and Foundations* **51**(2): 253–263.
- Skempton AW (1970) The consolidation of clays by gravitational compaction. *Quarterly journal of the Geological Society* **125**: 373–411.
- Suddeepong A, Chai J, Shen S and Carter J (2015) Deformation behaviour of clay under repeated one-dimensional unloading–reloading. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal* **52**(8): 1035–1044.

How can you contribute?

To discuss this paper, please submit up to 500 words to the editor at journals@ice.org.uk. Your contribution will be forwarded to the author(s) for a reply and, if considered appropriate by the editorial board, it will be published as a discussion in a future issue of the journal.