DISCUSSION

Vacuum preloading consolidation of Yaoqiang Airport runway

M. TANG and J. Q. SHANG (2000). *Géotechnique* **50**, No. 6, 613–623

Soil improvement by the vacuum preloading method for an oil storage station

J. CHU, S. W. YAN and H. YANG (2000). *Géotechnique* **50**, No. 6, 625–632

Consolidation of a very soft clay with vertical drains

M. S. S. ALMEIDA, P. E. L. SANTA MARIA, A. P. SPOTTI and L. B. M. COELHO (2000). *Géotechnique* **50**, No. 6, 633–643

A. E. Skinner, Skinner & Associates

The authors of these three papers treat consolidation, due to the flow of water to the vertical drains, assuming the soil has both constant compressibility m_v and constant permeability k , which generate a constant value for the coefficient of radial or horizontal consolidation, c_h . Would not the authors agree that, because the soils involved were soft and very compressible, they could have had significant changes in stiffness and permeability, due to the effective stress changes imposed during consolidation? If this were the case, analyses that took into account these stress-dependent parameters should yield additional insight into the observed responses of the soils involved.

The discussor does not have sufficient detail of the soils encountered by the authors to reanalyse the results of the field

studies that were presented. However, as an illustration, analyses for one very soft soil are given, showing the different soil responses generated by the different assumptions.

The compression and swelling data, shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1, are from each stage of a one-dimensional consolidation test on a sample of soft ‘intertidal’ marine sediment.

If it is assumed that, because the stress increments in this test are small, m_v and k are reasonably constant during each stage, then the time–settlement responses can be compared with theoretical predictions. Fig. 2 shows the comparisons, fitted at 50% consolidation, and the values for c_v that this fit generates. The loading stage c_v values are plotted in Fig. 3. For the stress range considered in the subsequent analyses an average ‘lab’ value of $c_v = 0.523 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ is obtained.

Table 1. Data for test sample

Stage	Stress in kN/m ²		Height: mm		Voids ratio, e	m_v for each stage of loading m ² /kN	c_v : m ² /year	k : 10 ⁻⁹ m/s	k : 10 ⁻³ m/yr
	From	To	At start	At end					
1 (bedding)	?	7.545	10.94	10.13	3.134				
2	7.545	15.09	10.13	9.60	2.919	0.006 91	0.550	1.205	38.001
3	15.09	30.18	9.60	9.03	2.687	0.003 93	0.499	0.623	19.647
4	30.18	60.36	9.03	8.21	2.351	0.003 02	0.550	0.527	16.620
5	60.36	30.18	8.21	8.30	2.389				
6	30.18	15.09	8.30	8.39	2.426				
7	15.09	30.18	8.39	8.36	2.412				
8	30.18	60.36	8.36	8.15	2.326				
9	60.36	120.72	8.15	7.41	2.025	0.0015	0.511	0.243	7.663
10	120.72	241.44	7.41	6.47	1.641	0.001 06	0.493	0.165	5.203
11	241.44	120.72	6.47	6.57	1.681				
12	120.72	60.36	6.57	6.64	1.710				
13	60.36	120.72	6.64	6.55	1.673				
14	120.72	241.44	6.55	6.36	1.596				
15	241.44	482.88	6.36	5.77	1.357	0.000 38	0.359	0.0434	1.369
16	482.88	965.76	5.77	5.14	1.100	0.000 23	0.498	0.0357	1.126
17	965.76	482.88	5.14	5.28	1.156				
18	482.88	241.44	5.28	5.46	1.230				
19	241.44	120.72	5.46	5.64	1.304				
20	120.72	60.36	5.64	5.83	1.380				
21	60.36	30.18	5.83	6.02	1.457				
22	30.18	15.09	6.02	6.18	1.526				
23	15.09	3.018	6.18	6.48	1.645

Fig. 1. Sample: test data for each stage

Fig. 2. Settlement data for four separate stages of loading; c_v values obtained by fitting the theoretical curves at 50% consolidation. (a) Stage 2: data fitted to theoretical solution for $c_v = 0.55$ m²/yr. (b) Stage 3: theoretical solution fitted for $c_v = 0.5$ m²/yr. (c) Stage 4: data fitted to theoretical solution for $c_v = 0.55$ m²/yr. (d) Stage 9: data fitted to theoretical solution for $c_v = 0.51$ m²/yr

The measured m_v and derived c_v values for each stage were then used to derive stress-dependent permeability k values. It is possible, with some consolidation apparatus, to obtain the stress-dependent k values directly.

The data for sample height and permeability against effective

stress were fitted with trend lines in the form of power functions, as shown in Fig. 4. The equations for the trend lines for the sample height of the normally consolidated branch, and for the permeability, were used to generate settlement and pore pressure values from numerical iterative calculations. The calcu-

Fig. 3. Coefficient of consolidation, c_v : laboratory values compared with those from the Asaoka construction applied to the numerical solution for stiffness and permeability varying with effective stress

lations were performed in a spreadsheet, with various constraining assumptions, and the results are shown in Figs 5 and 6. The influence of the stress-dependent compressibility and permeability, depicted by curves E in these figures, is very clear. The early reduction in permeability, close to the well, has the effect of slowing the dissipation of the excess pore water pressure and settlement, as compared with the analyses for which compressibility, m_v , and permeability, k , were taken as constant.

In the paper 'Consolidation of a very soft clay with vertical drains' the authors have used the Asaoka method to back-calculate values of the coefficient of horizontal consolidation, c_h . The Asaoka (1978) method is a graphical inversion of Barron's (1948) equal strain solution, for which the compressibility, m_v , and permeability, k , are taken as constant. The authors also took into consideration the modifications to Asaoka's method suggested by Magnan & Deroy (1980). It is worthy of note that Magnan *et al.* (1983) suggest that the inverted Barron formula can be used to evaluate c_h from pore pressure dissipation data as well as from the settlement data.

In Figs 7(a) and Fig. 7(b) Asaoka's construction is shown, using the results from the numerical analyses. It is clear that the plots for the output data, when stress-dependent compressibility and permeability are allowed for, are very different from the results generated using the constant 'lab' c_v value.

The back-calculated c_h values from the Asaoka construction are given in Table 2. Widely different values are obtained from consideration of settlement as compared with pore water pressure dissipation, and both are different from the appreciably constant value seen in the laboratory tests.

In light of these numerical evaluations, the variations in the value of the fitted consolidation coefficient, c_h , is a function of the stress-dependent soil behaviour. Would not the authors of the three papers agree, as most soft compressible soils exhibit quite strong stress-dependent compressibility and permeability, that forecasts made by the soils engineer should take these soil responses into account? The numerical analyses presented here

Fig. 4. Raw data for PK test sample, with two power function relationships fitted to the overconsolidated and normally consolidated branches: (a) sample height; (b) permeability

Fig. 4. (continued).

Fig 5. Various solutions for a drain well. Drain diameter 0.1 m; effective diameter of drained material 1.3 m; well ratio 13

indicate that, if the soils engineer does not take stress-dependent compressibility and permeability into account, 'forecasts' for drain well performance are unlikely to coincide with the response of the soil to the drainage processes in the field.

Authors' reply (Chu *et al.*)

The authors agree with the discussor that neither the compressibility, m_v , nor the permeability, k , is constant. In our paper, none of these parameters was assumed constant. In fact, we also recognise that the consolidation parameters of soft clay vary with the consolidation process (Chu & Choa, 1997). Two of our PhD students had just completed their projects trying to

model this problem. One (Xiao, 2000) carried out large-scale model tests to study the way in which the consolidation properties of soil around a vertical drain vary with the consolidation process. Another (Nie, 1999) has developed a computer program to model how the variation of consolidation parameters affects the consolidation of soft clay around vertical drains.

Authors' reply (Almeida *et al.*)

We should like to express our thanks to the discussor for his comments concerning the stress dependence of the compressibility and permeability of soft soils. Although he is right,

Fig. 6. Various solutions for a drain well. Drain diameter 0.1 m; effective diameter of drained material 1.3 m; well ratio 13. Effective pore water pressure after (a) 80 days, (b) 320 days and (c) 640 days of consolidation

strictly speaking, the compressibility and the permeability vary in the same direction, so that the ratio k/m_v remains reasonably constant and so does c_v , as shown in Table 1, where it can be observed that c_v varies within a narrow interval of $0.52 \pm 5\%$ m^2/yr for an effective stress range from 7.5 to 240 kN/m^2 . Besides, the assumption of taking c_v as a constant does not imply that k and m_v are both constant, but is equivalent to assuming that, as the soil particles are moved closer together, the decrease in permeability is proportional to the decrease in compressibility.

In fact the main sources of mismatch in predictions of consolidation analysis are non-linearity in stress-strain behaviour, large strains and secondary compression. Martins & Lima (1996) performed a comparative study of linear and non-linear consolidation theories, and showed that although the average degree of consolidation, $\bar{U}$, may be accurately predicted from linear theory, the pore pressure is always underestimated in this case. The errors are related to the ratio between final and initial effective stresses σ'_f/σ'_i and, for $T_v = 2$, vary from about 17% for $\sigma'_f/\sigma'_i = 1.5$ to about 39% for $\sigma'_f/\sigma'_i = 3.0$. For large σ'_f/σ'_i ratios, pore pressure predictions could be seriously in error if the chord AB in Fig. 8 is taken as an approximation for the arc AB, the true relationship between void ratio and vertical effective stress. The case study presented by Almeida *et al.* (1994) of a 20 m thick embankment placed over a soft clay layer with a σ'_f/σ'_i ratio of the order of 30 illustrates such a case. The

non-linear relationship between void ratio and vertical effective stress had to be taken into account in order to estimate the average degree of consolidation concerning settlements and excess pore pressures, which were quite different. Notwithstanding the strong non-linear relationship between void ratio and effective stress, a constant-valued c_v was used to predict the settlements and pore pressures that were still to occur. Last, but not least, the question raised by the discussor about the validity of using a constant c_v value with both k and m_v stress dependent, which he argues against, can be answered by remembering the non-linear theory of consolidation developed by Davis & Raymond (1965), where one of the basic hypotheses is exactly that which is discussed herein.

The inadequate use of small strain analysis when large strains take place also accounts for the departure of field measurements from theoretical predictions, as illustrated in Fig. 5 provided by the discussor. This figure also points out the minor influence of considering k and m_v to be stress dependent compared with the influence of taking into account large strains in the computation of the average degree of consolidation.

Finally, the authors believe that secondary compression (used herein in the sense of strain-rate-dependent settlements) is always present in the consolidation of soft soils, and occurs together with primary consolidation. Settlements due to secondary compression are frequently a source of error leading to failure in accurate predictions. Although the authors recognise

Fig. 7. Asaoka's construction applied to the PK analysis output data: (a) settlement, S_n , at time t_n normalised by the final settlement; (b) $[U]_n$, dissipation ratio at time t_n

Table 2. Comparison of measured and derived values of the coefficient of consolidation for the isotropic test soil.

	Coefficient of consolidation (measured or derived), c_v or c_h : m^2/yr
Average value from laboratory tests	0.523
Asaoka's construction applied to numerical settlement results (stress-dependent soil parameters)	0.299
Asaoka's construction applied to numerical pore water dissipation results (stress-dependent soil parameters)	0.088

Fig. 8. Linear and non-linear void ratio plotted against effective stress relationships and associated excess pore pressures

the importance of secondary compression, its effect was disregarded in the presented analysis of field data for, in the authors' opinion, soil mechanics has not yet provided a satisfactory approach to handling such types of settlement. As the discussion of secondary compression is beyond the scope of this discussion reply, some authors' ideas about the phenomenon can be found in Martins & Lacerda (1985) and Martins *et al.* (1997).

Author's reply (Tang and Shang)

We agree with Dr Skinner's comments and have no further comment to make.

REFERENCES

- Almeida, M. S. S., Danziger, F. A. B., Almeida, M. C. F., Carvalho, S. R. F. & Martins, I. S.M. (1994). Performance of an embankment built on a soft disturbed clay. *Solos e Rochas* **17**, No. 1, 67–73.
- Asaoka, A. (1978). Observational procedure of settlement prediction. *Soils Found.* **18**, No. 4, 87–101.
- Barron, R. A. (1948). Consolidation of fine-grained soils by drain wells. *J. Geotech. Engng Div., ASCE* **113**, 718–742.
- Chu, J. and Choa, V. (1997) Characterization of Singapore marine clay at Changi East. *Proc. 14th Int. Conf. Soil Mech. Foundation Engng, Germany*, 65–68.
- Davis, E. H. & Raymond, G. P. (1965). A non-linear theory of consolidation. *Géotechnique* **15**, No. 2, 161–173.
- Magnan, J. P. and Deroy, J. M. (1980) Analyse graphique des tassements observés sous les ouvrages. *Bull. Liaison Lab. P.et Ch.* **109**, 45–52.
- Magnan, J. P., Pilot, G. and Queyroi, D. (1983). Back analysis of soil consolidation around vertical drains. *Proc. 8th Eur. Conf. Soil Mech. Found. Engng, Helsinki* **2**, 653–658.
- Martins, I. S. M. & Lacerda, W. A. (1985). A theory of consolidation with secondary compression. *Proc. 11th Int. Conf. Soil Mech. Found. Engng, San Francisco* **1**, 567–570.
- Martins, I. S. M. & Lima, G. P. (1996). A comparative study of linear and non-linear consolidation theories. *Solos e Rochas* **19**, No. 1, 29–43 (in Portuguese).
- Martins, I. S. M., Santa Maria, P. E. L. & Lacerda, W. A. (1997). A brief review about the most significant results of COPPE research on rheological behaviour of saturated clays subjected to one-dimensional strain. *Proceedings international symposium on recent developments in soil and pavement mechanics*, Rio de Janeiro, pp. 255–265.
- Nie, X. Y. (1999). *Consolidation of soft clays around vertical drain*. PhD thesis, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.
- Xiao, D. P. (2000). *Consolidation of soft clay using vertical drains*. PhD thesis, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.